WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-1194 of 2016.</u>

	JanmenjoyBera. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal& Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
09 05-09-2019	For the Applicant : None. For the State Respondents : Mr. A. De, Mrs. R. Sarkar, Departmental Representatives. Even after second call also none appear for the applicant. It is noted that on earlier occasion also none appear for the applicant. Since it is the case of 2014, we proceed to adjudicate the matter on the basis of available records and submission made by the respondents.	3
	The instant application has been filed praying for the following reliefs:- a) An order directing upon the respondents to communicate or	
	respondents to communicate or intimate the decision so taken by	
	the respondent no. 1 after giving	
	personal hearing on 25-05-2012 an	

	JanmenjoyBera
orm No.	

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-1194 of 2016

Case No. OA-1194 o	<u>of 2016</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	16-07-2012 for absorbing the	
	applicant in permanent	
	employment in Group "D" post	
	pursuant to the order of O.A. No.	
	1410/2011 passed by Hon'ble	
	Tribunal.	
	b) An order directing the	
	respondents to absorb the	
	applicant in permanent	
	employment in Group "D" post	
	forthwith.	
	As per the applicant, he was engaged as Tahasil	
	Mohurrior from time to time between 1977 to	
	1984. In the year 1988, one scheme had come	
	into force for absorption to the posts of Group-	
	D from Tahasil Mohurrior and the post of	
	Tahasil Mohurrior was abolished in the year	
	1984. Earlier, he had approached this Tribunal	
	in OA No. 1410 of 2011, which was disposed of	
	vide order dated 20-01-2012 with a direction to	
	the Principal Secretary and LRC, L & LR	
	Department, Govt. of West Bengal to consider	
	the representation of the applicant. However no	
	l	l

Form No. JanmenjoyBera

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-1194 of 2016			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date	
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary	
1		3	
	decision has been communication to the		
	applicant. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the		
	instant application.		
	The respondents have filed their written		
	statement, wherein they have submitted that		
	the case of the applicant was considered by the		
	Department of Land & Land Reforms, Govt. of		
	West Bengal and was rejected vide order dated		
	06-08-2012 on the ground that as the applicant		
	did not fulfil the conditions stipulated in the		
	Department's Memo dated 15-10-1998 wherein		
	it is categorically stipulated that the Tahasil		
	Mohurrior should render service of 5(five) years		
	or more continuously after attaining 18 years of		
	age. As the date of birth of the applicant is 10-		
	07-1962, therefore he could have served the		
	department only 3 years after attaining 18		
	years of age as on 1984.		
	However the Counsel for the applicant		
	has filed rejoinder wherein it has been stated		
	that since no minimum age has been		
	prescribed under D.C.R.B. Rule, therefore		

JanmenjoyBera

Form No.

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-1194 of 2016

Case No. OA-1194 of 2016					
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date			
Date of order.	2	and dated signature			
1		of parties when necessary 3			
	respondents cannot reject the claim of the	-			
	applicant.				
	We have heard the respondents and				
	perused the records. It is noted that the				
	applicant has claimed for absorption as per				
	scheme formulated by the department, which				
	was further clarified by the Memo dated 15-10-				
	1998 wherein it has been specifically stated				
	that :-				
	"A question has now arisen				
	as to whether the Tahasil				
	Mohurriors who were engaged below				
	the prescribed minimum age of 18				
	years will be eligible for				
	recruitment/absorption in the said				
	posts under the regular				
	establishment of this Department.				
	After careful consideration,				
	it has been decided that, for the				
	purpose of absorption of the Tahasil				
	Mohurriors to the Group 'D' posts				

JanmenjoyBera

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	under the regular establishment of	
	this Department, the provisions laid	
	down in Finance Deptt. Notification	
	Nos. 8321-F dated 06-11-1981 and	
	8322-F dated 06-11-1981 and also	
	the provisions laid down in para 5 in	
	Labour Department Memo No. 1700	
	EMP dated 03-08-1979 shall apply	
	mutatis mutandis in these cases.	
	The incumbents who were engaged	
	as Tahasil Mohurriors on	
	commission basis below the	
	minimum age of 18 years and had	
	not worked for five consecutive	
	years after attaining the age of 18	
	years shall be debarred from	
	consideration for absorption in	
	Group 'D' posts under the regular	
	establishment of this Department	
	even if they are otherwise found	
	eligible.	
	It must be ensured that the	
	above guideline and circular are	

Form No. JanmenjoyBera

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.<u>OA-1194 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	strictly followed for absorption of	
	Tahasil Mohurriors."	
	However as per the applicant since there is no	
	minimum age limit of 18 years for entering into	
	the government service as per D.C.R.B. Rule.	
	Therefore the executive instruction cannot be a	
	bar for calculation of 5 years on the basis of	
	non-attaining 18 years. However it is observed	
	that under the scheme formulated by the	
	executive for absorption for that unless the	
	applicant had entered into the government	
	service and he is not covered by the D.C.R.B.	
	Rule. Therefore the prescribed of minimum age	
	limit of D.C.R.B. Rule is not relevant in this	
	case. However the said scheme of "Absorption	
	of Tahasil Mohurriors against Group-D post"	
	was further clarified vide Memo dated 15-10-	
	1998, wherein it had clearly stipulated the	
	minimum age of 18 years for the purpose of	
	calculating 5 years' service. However the said	
	scheme has not even been challenged by the	
	applicant. Therefore in our considered view that	
	the respondents have rightly rejected the claim	

	JanmenjoyBera
Form No.	***************************************

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

ase No. <u>OA-1194 (</u> Social No. and		Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Serial No. and	Order of the	and dated signature	
Date of order.		2	of parties when necessar
1			3
	of the applicant.	Accordingly, the OA	is
	dismissed being dev	void of merit with no ord	ler
	as to cost.		
	D DAMESU KIIMAD	IIDMITA DATTA (SI	PAT)
	P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER(A)	URMITA DATTA (SE MEMBER(J)	ZNj
Mihir			